

Fig. 1. The structure of $Ti_{2+x}S_4$ viewed down the *a* axis. Sulphur atoms are drawn as larger circles, completely filled Ti_1 sites as small black circles, and partly occupied Ti sites as crosses. The unit cell is drawn in projection with broken lines.

The titanium atoms are each distant 2.45 Å from six sulphurs at the corners of a nearly regular octahedron, and have an additional metal 2.86 Å away as a seventh neighbour through the centre of an octahedral face. In each octahedron there are six S-S distances of 3.43 Å (the *a* axis length) and six of 3.48 Å. The Ti-Ti distance in metallic titanium is 2.90 Å, and it is possible that some form of metal-to-metal bonding exists between adjacent octahedra sharing a face parallel to (0001). The two sulphur atoms have different environments, S_1 is at the centre of a trigonal prism of titanium atoms, whilst S_2 is at the centre of an octahedron.

Many of the sesqui-selenides and -tellurides of the transition metals may perhaps be regarded as the 'averaged mean' of the closely related C6 and B8 structures. TiS₂ has the C6 arrangement, whilst in the high-temperature form of TiS the B8 grouping is modified by regular stacking disorders (Hahn & Harder, 1956). The nonstoichiometric titanium 'sesquisulphide' therefore represents a transitional or intermediate structure between the two.

The writer desires to thank Mr F. K. McTaggart for kindly placing the specimens at his disposal.

References

- BILTZ, W., EHRLICH, P. & MEISEL, K. (1937). Z. anorg. Chem. 234, 97.
- Hägg, G. & Schönberg, N. (1954). Ark. Kemi, 7, 40, 371.
- HAHN, H. & HARDER, B. (1956). Z. anorg. Chem. 288, 241.
- JELLINEK, F. (1955). Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B, 58, 213.
- McTaggart, F. K. & Wadsley, A. D. (1957). To be published.
- SCHÖNBERG, N. (1954). Acta Chem. Scand. 8, 226.

Acta Cryst. (1957). 10, 716

Lattice parameters of cold-worked Cu-Zn-Ga alloys. By L. CASTELLIZ, C. S. BARRETT and T. B. MAS-SALSKI,* Institute for the Study of Metals, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.

(Received 5 June 1957)

Massalski & Barrett (1957) have recently shown that ternary Cu–Zn–Ga alloys with the body-centered cubic structure, in the region of the equilibrium diagram where this high-temperature structure can be retained by a rapid quench, can be subsequently transformed by cold working.

Three such alloys, falling along the line of constant valence-electron: atom ratio (e/a = 1.476), have been subjected to cold work after quenching from the b.c.c. region, and the lattice parameters of the transformation structure are reported in this note.

The alloys were obtained from materials of 99.98-99.99% purity, cast in 'Vycor' tubing, homogenized at

* Presently at the Department of Physical Metallurgy, The University, Birmingham 15, England.

Table 1. Lattice parameters

	Compo	osition (ator	. .		
Alloy*	Cu	Zn	Ga	Lattice parameter (Å)	
l Ga	54.9	42.5	2.6	$3\cdot730\pm0\cdot002$	
2 Ga	57.4	37.5	5.1	3.717 ± 0.002	
D5	65.07	23.67	11.26	3.705 ± 0.002	

* Alloy designations are the same as those used by Massalski & Barrett (1957).

 800° C. and quenched in brine. Their composition is given in Table 1. X-ray patterns were obtained with monochromatized Cu K α radiation in a G. E. X-ray diffraction unit. After filing at room temperature, and immediate X-ray exposure, lines of a transformation structure were observed in addition to lines of the untransformed b.c.c. phase. The new lines corresponded to a heavily faulted close-packed hexagonal structure in alloys near the Cu-Ga binary axis, gradually changing into a faulted structure showing both the face-centered cubic and closepacked hexagonal characteristics near the Cu-Zn binary axis (Massalski & Barrett, 1957).

In Table 1 are shown lattice parameters of the transformation structure calculated from the 311 reflections of Debye-Scherrer patterns, indexed as f.c.c.

Deformation faulting causes shifts of certain reflections in the f.c.c. Debye-Scherrer pattern (Paterson, 1952) but it was found that in the case of binary Cu–Zn alloys (Massalski & Barrett, 1957) lattice-parameter values could be estimated with reasonable precision using the assumption that the 311 reflection remained practically unshifted by the presence of faults.

References

MASSALSKI, T. B. & BARRETT, C. S. (1957). Trans. Amer.
 Inst. Min. (Metall.) Engrs. 209, 455.
 PATERSON, M. S. (1952). J. Appl. Phys. 23, 805.

Acta Cryst. (1957). 10, 717

Atomic scattering factors for Mo⁺.* By EDGAR L. EICHHORN, The California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A. (Received 30 July 1957)

For the heavier elements the earliest scattering curves were approximated by James & Brindley (1931) with an interpolation method based upon the Thomas-Fermi treatment in which the atomic electron cloud is considered in terms of a degenerate gas. The scattering curves for molybdenum have not been corrected since; however in 1955 accurate self-consistent wave-field data for the molybdenum monovalent positive ion became available through the work of the Hartree school in Cambridge. The present computation of the new scattering curve (Table 1 and Fig. 1) is based upon the self-consistent

* Contribution No. 2218 from the Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry. This investigation was supported by research grant No. H-2143 from the National Heart Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Public Health Service. field data, calculated by Ridley (1955) on the Cambridge E.D.S.A.C. computer.

It was decided to undertake the programming of the calculation of scattering curves from wave-field data in general form, so that other elements could be computed also. The digital computer at C.I.T., an ElectroData Datatron, was utilized for this purpose. The numerical integration of expressions of the form

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{j}^{2}(r) \cdot \sin 4\pi \sigma r}{4\pi \sigma r} \cdot dr$$

(where $P_j(r)$ is the radially dependent part of the wave function for shell *j* at distance *r* (in Bohr units) from the nucleus, and $\sigma = \sin \theta/\lambda$) is carried out by a curve-fitting procedure, the accuracy of which is of the same order as

$\frac{\sin \theta}{2} \times 10^{-8}$		$\frac{\sin\theta}{2} \times 10^{-8}$		$\frac{\sin\theta}{1} \times 10^{-8}$		$\frac{\sin\theta}{2} \times 10^{-8}$	
λ (cm.)	$f(Mo^+)$	λ (cm.)	$f({ m Mo^+})$	/ (cm.)	$f({ m Mo^+})$	(cm.)	$f({ m Mo^+})$
()	41.00	0.46	22.48	0.92	13.78	1.38	8.43
0.00	40.95	0.48	21.96	0.94	13.48	1.40	8.26
0.02	40.00	0.50	21.00	0.96	13.17	1.42	8.13
0.04	40.44	0.59	21.01	0.98	12.89	1.44	7.98
0.06	39.77	0.54	20.56	1.00	12.59	1.46	7.86
0.08	38.93	0.54	20.30	1.02	12.32	1.48	7.73
0.10	37.95	0.20	20.14	1.04	12.04	1.50	7.62
0.15	36.90	0.58	19.71	1.06	11.76	1.52	7.48
0.14	35.81	0.60	19.32	1.00	11.51	1.54	7.38
0.16	34.71	0.62	18.92	1.08	11.91	1.56	7.26
0.18	33.62	0.64	18.54	1.10	11.25	1.50	7.16
0.20	32.53	0.66	18.16	1.12	11.01	1.38	7.10
0.22	31.51	0.68	17.80	1.14	10.75	1.60	7.00
0.24	30.52	0.70	17.43	1.16	10.53	1.62	6.98
0.26	29.58	0.72	17.07	1.18	10.30	1.64	6.88
0.28	28.68	0.74	16.73	1.20	10.09	1.66	6.80
0.20	27.83	0.76	16.38	1.22	9.87	1.68	6.71
0.32	27.01	0.78	16.04	1.24	9.67	1.70	6.63
0.32	26.95	0.80	15.70	1.26	9.46	1.72	6.55
0.96	20.20	0.82	15.38	1.28	9.28	1.74	6.47
0.30	20.00	0.84	15.04	1.30	9.10	1.76	6.41
0.38	24.04	0.86	14.71	1.32	8.93	1.78	6.33
0.40	24.21	0.80	14.90	1.34	8.75	1.80	6.26
0.42	23.59	0.88	14.99	1.26	8.59		
0.44	23.03	1 0.90	14.08	1 1.90	0.00	1	

Table 1. Atomic scattering factors for Mo+